RECEIVED

MUV I 3 ZUI8

TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION Austin, Texas

FINDINGS AND DECISIONS OF COMMISSION UPON REVIEW OF CLAIM FOR BENEFITS

CLUME, HE GROTTERST CLEAR WESTERN DISTRICT OF TA

OCT 3 0 2018 Date Mailed

E O

M E

ETHEL V POGUE

2175 S STATE HIGHWAY 121 # A2001

LEWISVILLE, TX 75067-6578

Case Number:

2303455-2

Social Security Number:

XXX-XX-5314

SWC COMPANIES GP LLC ET AL

SWC GROUP LP

4120 INTERNATIONAL PKWY STE 1100

CARROLLTON, TX 75007-1958

Prior Decision Date:

September 7, 2018

Appeal Filed by:

Claimant

The Commission has considered the appeal filed from the Appeal Tribunal decision identified above and, after due consideration of the decision and the complete record herein, is of the opinion that the case was properly decided by the Appeal Tribunal. The Commission hereby adopts the findings of fact and conclusions of law of the Appeal Tribunal, as if the same were copied herein in full.

The decision of the Appeal Tribunal is in all respects affirmed.

The last day a timely appeal may be filed is

NOV 1 3 2018

This date includes holidays

and weekends as authorized by Commission Rule.

Robert D. Thomas

Commissioner Representing the Public

Ruth R. Hughs

Commissioner Representing Employers

I dissent

Julian Alvarez

Commissioner Representing Labor



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

§ § State State

2018 OCT -9 PM 12: 5

ETHEL VENICE POGUE,

PLAINTIFF,

V.

CAUSE NO. 1:18-CV-713-LY

TEXAS WORKFORCE COMMISSION, HEARING OFFICER ROBERT DWYER, JR.; AND CHRISTOPHER OAKLEY, SUPERVISOR.

DEFENDANTS.

ORDER

Before the court are Plaintiff's "Motion for Continuance" (Doc. #7) and "Motion for Reconsideration" (Doc. #8) filed September 17, 2018 (Doc. #6). Although the motions are not clear, it appears Plaintiff seeks additional time to file objections to the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed September 7, 2018 (Doc. #6) so that she may obtain a hearing record, and seeks to supplement evidence. Having considered the motions, the court is of the opinion that Plaintiff's motion for continuance should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's "Motion for Continuance" filed September 17, 2018 (Doc. #7) is **GRANTED AS FOLLOWS**: Plaintiff's objections to the magistrate judge's report and recommendation, if any, shall be filed **on or before November 9, 2018**.

Plaintiff's "Motion for Reconsideration" remains pending at this time.

SIGNED this

_ day of October, 2018.

LEE YEAKEL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE